UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/939,227 08/24/2001		David Eugene Hirth	284-09555-US (D5407-25)	4072
	7590 06/02/200 RRIS LLP - Houston		EXAMINER	
3200 SOUTHW	EST FREEWAY		THOMPSON, KENNETH L	
SUITE 3150 HOUSTON, TX	K 77027		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3672	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/02/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/939,227	HIRTH, DAVID EUGENE	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Kenneth Thompson	3672	

		Renneur mempeen	1 0072	
The MAILING DATE or	this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>25 May 2009</u> F	AILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a fina application, applicant must tin application in condition for allo</li> </ol>	ll rejection, but prior to or on nely file one of the following i owance; (2) a Notice of Appe		Appeal. To avoid abar it, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires	months from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
no event, however, will the s Examiner Note: If box 1 is ch	tatutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejectio	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained und have been filed is the date for purposes under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any may reduce any earned patent term adj	ler 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of determining the period of extant (1) the expiration date of the seply received by the Office later	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Offic	te extension fee e action; or (2) as
Notice of Appeal has been file	CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to thin the time period set forth in 37	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS				
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of (c) ☐ They are not deemed to	hat would require further cor new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);	
appeal; and/or				
	_	corresponding number of finally rejection	ected claims.	
_	ion Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1			
4. U The amendments are not in o			mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overco				
non-allowable claim(s).		owable if submitted in a separate,		
7.  For purposes of appeal, the phow the new or amended claim The status of the claim(s) is (Claim(s) allowed: 3,7-19 and Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,4-6 and Claim(s) withdrawn from cons	ms would be rejected is prov or will be) as follows: <u>21-36</u> . <u>I 20</u> .	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wi ided below or appended.	ll be entered and an ex	planation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENC	<u> </u>			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence because applicant failed to provide was not earlier presented. See</li> </ol>	ovide a showing of good and	t before or on the date of filing a No I sufficient reasons why the affidav		
	or other evidence failed to o	a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. So	al and/or appellant fails	to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other eviden REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERAT		n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attache	ed.
11. The request for reconsiderat		t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowand	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information 13. Other:	n <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
		/Kenneth Thompson/ Primary Examiner, Art U	Jnit 3672	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Limitations directed to the movement regulating device being hydraulic constitutes a new issue requiring further search and consideration..